

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,041	07/13/2006	Johannes Arnoldus Jansen	207,623	9317
38137 7590 (2019)2099 ABELMAN, FRAYNE & SCHWAB 666 THIRD AVENUE, 10TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017			EXAM	IINER
			MONTANO, MELISSA ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/586,041	JANSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MELISSA MONTANO	3738	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

earned patent term adjustment,	See 37 CFR 1,704(b),	

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fised after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply is sported above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SS U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if therefyilder, may reduce any	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2006.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	3
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(٩١
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	۵,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	
,	

Attacii	mem	1(5

·/ L	Notice of References Cited (F10-692)
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
31	Information Ripologue, Chatemaniatio) (FTR/CE/mg)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

4) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application
6)	Other:

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20090126

Application/Control Number: 10/586,041 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8 and 13, drawn to a method for providing a polymeric implant object with a crystalline calcium phosphate (CaP) coating.

Group II, claim(s) 9-12 and 14-15, drawn to a polymeric implant object.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 9 does not identify any technical features other than what may be broadly interpreted from its reference to claim 1 (MPEP 2113). The special technical feature of Group I appears to be the use of a laser operating within specified wavelength and energy density ranges, which is dissimilar from the special technical feature of the particular CaP coating thickness of Group II.
- A telephone call was made to Mr. Jay Cinamon on 2/2/2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,041

Art Unit: 3738

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.
 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.
 All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Art Unit: 3738

commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to MELISSA MONTANO whose telephone number is
(571)270-5785. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM5:00PM EDT.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571)272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,041 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MM

/Corrine M McDermott/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738